

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/585,714	ZADESKY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Heather M. Molleur.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
That of record.

Claims discussed:
All pending.


Prior art documents discussed:
Collins et al. (US Pat. 4,550,058)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 15+ are allowable because the prior art does not teach applying a liquid solution to the part and then curing the liquid to form a coating. It was proposed that an Examiner's Amdt. which added the applying and curing of a liquid solution directly on the part would overcome the rejection of claims 1+. The Exr. Amdt. is needed because Collins teaches a polyurethane (adhesive layer) directly on the polycarbonate part (see 6:9-22) as amended by applicant's last response. It was agreed that the term polyurethane could also be deleted from claim 1 since it is not needed for allowance of claim 1. Ms. Molleur approved the Exr. Amdt. .